



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
10/001,787	12/05/2001	Yong Sung Ham	049128-5040	2255			
9629	7590 12/23/2004	EXAMINER					
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			. CHOW, I	CHOW, DOON Y			
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			2675	2675			

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)				
			10/001,787	•	HAM, YONG SUNG				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit					
			Dennis-Doo	n Chow	2675				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE N - Extender: - If the - If NO - Failur Any n	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr period for reply specified above, the maximum st e to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.13 nunication. 30) days, a reply atutory period wi v will, by statute,	66(a). In no ever within the statut ill apply and will cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>30 Jul</i>	ly 2004.						
·	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims					-			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-7,9,11-17 and 19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 4-6 and 14-16 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3, 7, 9, 11-13, 17 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application	on Papers								
9) 🗌 🖺	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachment									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO_0//8\	4	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date			S) Notice of Informal Pa)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/001,787

Art Unit: 2675

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-3, 7-9, 11-13, and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The specification, as originally filed, does not provide support for a **standard** data for driving video data normally as is claimed in claims 1 and 11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson (WO 99/05567) in view of Usui et al. (5844533)

Application/Control Number: 10/001,787

Art Unit: 2675

Johnson discloses an apparatus and a method driving a liquid crystal display, comprising a modulation (correction) means for modulation data in the liquid crystal display (see Fig. 7). The modulation means includes a determining means for determining an old data (outputted data) and a new data (standard data), and an inputting means for inputting the old data and the new data into a LUT (33) which determines modulation data. The LUT then outputs the modulation data to an adder (34). The adder (34) adds the modulation data and the new data, and outputs the total data to the display.

Johnson does not disclose using a calculator to calculate a difference between the old data and the new data. Instead, Johnson discloses determining the difference between the old data and the new data by looking up data in the LUT (33).

Usui, in the same display field, disclose using a calculator circuit including a subtraction circuit for calculating a difference between old data and new data (col. 17, lines 57-65).

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to substitute Usui's calculator circuit for Johnson's LUT to determine the difference between the old data and the new data. This would have been obvious because Johnson teaches that the calculator circuit can be used instead of the LUT (page 3, lines 2-5).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4-6 and 14-16 are allowed.

1.14

Application/Control Number: 10/001,787

Art Unit: 2675

6. Claims 7, 9, 17 and 19 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 2/17/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Johnson and Usui, whether taken separately or in combination, fail to teach or suggest "determining a standard data for driving video data normally," "determining an output data displayed on the liquid crystal display," "and acquiring a modulation data by calculating differences between the standard data and the output data." The examiner disagrees with applicant's argument for the following reasons.

The feature of determining a standard data for driving video data normally, as indicated in the above paragraph, is not supported by the original specification.

After carefully reviewing the specification again, it appears that the present application is related to a modulation means for determining a current data and a previous data, and for comparing the current with the previous data to determine the difference. The difference is then used to modulate the current data. As to the claimed standard data, the closest feature related to the standard data in the specification is the current data. Therefore, the combined Johnson and Usui, as indicated in the above

Application/Control Number: 10/001,787

Art Unit: 2675

paragraphs and the previous examiner's remarks, clearly teaches all the claimed features.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis-Doon Chow whose telephone number is 703-305-4398. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00, Alternate Monday off.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

DENNIS-DOON CHOW PREMARY EXAMINER

D. Chow December 21, 2004